

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION**

Cathy A. Ray,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:14—cv—1362

Commissioner of Social Security,

Judge Michael H. Watson

Defendant.


ORDER

On June 8, 2015, United States Magistrate Judge Kemp, to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and General Order 14-01 for the Southern District of Ohio Eastern Division, filed a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) concerning the disposition of Cathy A. Ray’s (“Plaintiff”) Statement of Specific Errors in this Social Security case. ECF No. 16. Judge Kemp recommended that Plaintiff’s Statement of Specific Errors be sustained to the extent that the case be remanded to the Commissioner pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), sentence four. *Id.* at 11.

Judge Kemp notified the parties of their right to file objections to the R&R pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). *Id.* Judge Kemp also specifically advised the parties that the failure to object to the R&R within fourteen days would result in a waiver of both the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the R&R. *Id.* at 12. The deadline for filing such objections has passed, and no objections were filed.

Having received no objections, the R&R is **ADOPTED**, and the case is remanded to the Commissioner.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT